

|   |                                      |                         |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b>               | <b>Applicant(s)</b>     |
|   | 10/039,191                           | SHCHERVINSKY, SEMYON    |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Kennedy Schaetzle | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3762 |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Kennedy Schaetzle. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Sanjiv Chokshi. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 April 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Proposed amendment to the specification to explicitly define the term "temporary cardiac pacing wire" agreed to. See Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)